Thomas F. Bertrand, State Bar No. 056560 1 Richard W. Osman, State Bar No. 167993 2 BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT The Waterfront Building 3 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109 4 Telephone: (415) 353-0999 Facsimile: (415) 353-0990 5 Email: rosman@bfesf.com 6 Attorneys for Defendants 7 CITY OF SAN RAFAEL and TWIN CITIES POLICE AUTHORITY 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 JOSE HERNANDEZ, ALMA HERNANDEZ, 13 JOSH HERNANDEZ, individually and as Case No. CV 11-03085 JSW guardian ad litem for TAMMY HERNANDEZ, 14 a minor, 15 Plaintiffs, JOINT STIPULATION 16 v. TO CONTINUE ENE DEADLINE 17 COUNTY OF MARIN, CITY OF SAN RAFAEL, TOWN OF CORTE MADERA, 18 CITY OF LARKSPUR, TWIN CITIES POLICE DEPARTMENT and DOES 1-100, 19 inclusive, 20 Defendants. 21 22 The parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and request that the Early 23 Neutral Evaluation deadline in this matter be extended from May 25, 2012 to a date 30 days after this 24 court issues an order on the defendants City of San Rafael and Twin Cities Police Authority's motion to 25 dismiss the second amended complaint (scheduled for hearing on August 10, 2012), based on the 26 following: 27 The ENE deadline in this case initially was scheduled for February 25, 2012 and was extended to 28

JOINT STIPULATION TO CONTINUE ENE DEADLINE

May 25, 2012 after the parties filed a stipulation and order requesting the continuance pending the outcome of then-pending motions to dismiss (Docket #35.)

On April 14, 2012, this Court issued its order granting in part and denying in part defendants' motion to dismiss (Docket #39). Plaintiffs were given leave to amend certain claims.

On April 25, 2012, plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint. (Docket # 40.) In the second amended complaint, plaintiffs add new individual defendant parties whom they have not yet served.

On May 16, 2012, defendants City of San Rafael and Twin Cities Police Authority filed a motion to dismiss the second amended complaint, which motion is scheduled for hearing on August 10, 2010 – the earliest available date on this Court's calendar. The recently-added individual defendants likely will file motions to dismiss once served.

All counsel discussed the ENE deadline and agreed to request a continuance until after the motion to dismiss has been ruled on because the ENE session would be more effective if held after an order is entered on the motion to dismiss and the parties have a better understanding of what claims may go forward.

In the interim, the parties are undertaking to complete multiple depositions, including all plaintiffs' depositions. The parties believe that completing these depositions also will make the ENE session more effective.

Dated: May 16, 2012 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard W. Osman

RICHARD OSMAN Attorney for Defendants City of San Rafael and Twin Cities Police Authority

/s/ Owen Mayer
OWEN MAYER, Attorney for Plaintiffs

/s/ Renee Giacomini-Brewer
RENEE GIACOMINI-BREWER
Attorney for Defendant County of Marin

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	
3	Good cause appearing, the ENE deadline in this matter is continued from May 25, 2012,
4	to <u>October 12</u> , 2012.
5	
6	Dated: May 21, 2012
7	Jeffrey Swhits
8	HONORAPLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	